SERIAL NO. ATTY. DOCKET NO. Based on Form PTO-1449 09/762694 (3/90)514413-3866 APPLICANT LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary) HACKER ET AL. GROUP FILING DATE U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE NAME CLASS SUBCLASS FILING DATE **EXAMINER** IF APPROPRIATE INITIAL 206 4/14/98 DONN AA 5,739,082 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS TRANSLATION COUNTRY CLASS SUBCLASS DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE YES WIPO WO 98/09525 3/12/98 AB WO 93/04585 3/18/93 WIPO AC 11/7/96 WIPO AD WO 96/34528 WO 97/36488 10/9/97 WIPO AE EP 0 808 569 A1 11/26/97 **EUROPE** AF OTHER PRIOR ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) Wright et al., "In vitro and whole-plant magnitude and cross-resistance characterization of two AG imidazolinone-resistant sugar ---beet--- (Beta vulgaris) somatic cell selections", Weed Sci., 1998, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 24-29, referred to as XP-002127723, Database accession no. 1998-156118 **CAPLUS** Haluschan, M., "Which strategies for weed control in sugarbeet?", Pflanzenarzt (51, No. 4, 15-AH 17), 1998) 3 Fig., 8 Tab., referred to as XP-002127725, Database accession no. 1998-83737 **CROPU** Clouet et al., "Weed control in sugar --- beet---. One can do it simply, but adapted to the field and ΑI its flora", Phytoma Def. Veg. (1997, No. 491, 34-36) 2 Fig. 4 Tab., referred to as XP-002127726, Database accession no. 1997-82732 CROPU Wolfsberger, T., "Weed control in sugar beet", Pflanzenart (48, 1-2, 9-12, 1995) 2 Fig., 4. Tab, 2 Ref., referred to as XP-002127727, Database accession no. 1995-81642 CROPU The Pesticide Manual, 10th Edition, Index 6, 1995, pp. 1335-1341, referred to as XP-002099499 AK Hammill et al., "To evaluate weed control and tolerance in Liberty Link (glufosinate ammonium) ALtolerant sugar beets", Res. Rep. Expert Comm. Weeds East. Can. (1998 Meet., 48-50) 2 Tab., referred to as XP-002127728 "Guidline on good plant protection practice. Beet.", Bull. OEPP (27, No. 2-3, 363-83, 1997), AM referred to as XP-002127724 DATE CONSIDERED EXAMINER * EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not station is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this

form with next communication to applicant.